Development and fabrication of full 3D-sensors at SINTEF MiNaLab

FNAL October 21, 2009

T. E. Hansen, A. Kok, T. A. Hansen, N. Lietaer, G. U. Jensen, A. Summanwar



Outline

- Short (2 minutes?) presentation of SINTEF and MiNaLab
- 3D structures
 - Principle of operation, advantages and disadvantages
- Motivation for 3D-detector activity and SINTEF involvement
- Fabrication tools and technology issues
- Wafer layout and results from SINTEF first 3D-run
- Technology improvements, wafer layout, and results from second run
- Conclusions and further work





Independent applied research foundation



MiNaLab (Micro- and Nanotechnology Laboratory in Oslo)





Moved into new lab in 2005

 Shared facility with the University of Oslo Two separate clean room floors: SINTEF: 800 m² University of Oslo: 600 m²

SINTEF:

- Silicon line with annual capacity of 10.000
 6-inch wafers on one shift, 4 layer process
- 4-inch and 6-inch wafers

Situated on the University of Oslo campus.



MiNaLab

- Net turnover 2007: NOK 53.4 millions (~ USD 9.6 millions)
- Net turnover 2008: NOK 58.3 millions (~ USD 10.5 millions)
- Employees:
 - Scientists: 28 (16 with PhD)
 - Engineers:
 - QA system approved to ISO 9001:2008

39

11

- R&D areas:
 - Radiation sensors (includes wafer production and foundry service)

- MEMS sensors and actuators
- Micro fluidics
- Micro optics (diffractive optics)
- Active materials (MEMS with piezo-electric PZT)



SINTEF Device configuration Full 3-D Structure with active edges

Previously only made at Stanford



3-D silicon detectors proposed by S. Parker in 1995

Active edge proposed by C. Kenney in 1997

Silicon chip (200 to 300 µm thick)

n - and p - electrode holes penetrating through chip. Completely filled with doped poly-silicon

p – active edgetrench filled withdoped poly-silicon

Advantages:

1. Active edge which makes chip sensitive right up to physical edge 2. Poly-silicon filling makes electrodes sensitive (50 to 60 % efficiency) <u>Disadvantages</u>:

1. Short inter-electrode distance implies high capacitance

2. Cost



Main fabrication steps

Modification of Stanford Process (S. Parker, C. Kenney)

IEEE Trans Nucl Sci 464 (1999) 1224 IEEE Trans Nucl Sci 482 (2001) 189





Alternative 3D –configurations Double sided processing No active edge, less sensitive area Open (insensitive) electrodes Distorted electric field distribution



Simpler processing? No support wafer





PROPERTIES OF 3D SENSORS

Almost same charge generation as planar sensor with same thickness
 Carrier drift length independent of chip thickness (≥ 45 µm).
 Short drift length implies high radiation hardness and fast response.
 Active edge secures sensitivity up to chip edge.



ICT

NIM A395 (1997) 328-343.





signal, but also on the front end electronics and sensor capacitance.

ICT



Motivation for SINTEF to join 3D-collaboration

- The 3D-collaboration now includes Univ. of Manchester, Univ. of Hawaii, SLAC, Purdue Univ., Oslo Univ., CNM-Barcelona, FBK-Trento, SINTEF. Prague Technical Univ. is associated member
- SINTEF joined 3D-collaboration in 2006. Part of effort to transfer 3D technology to affordable(?) small and medium scale production
 - Processing line adapted to sensor fabrication. Experience in sensor technology since early 1980ties. Automatic and semiautomatic tools
 - Number of in-house state-of-art Deep Reactive Ion Etchers (DRIE)
 - Long experience in 3D silicon micromachining (MEMS applications)



Fundamental Tool for 3D - Detectors: Deep Reactive Ion Etcher (DRIE)

Tools at SINTEF:

State-of-art Alcatel ICP tools

Bosch process with subsequent etching and deposition of polymer

"Old boy" and "IPROD" with robot



ICT

AMS200 ISPEEDER "IPROD"



"IPROD" (Alcatel AMS 200 ISPEEDER) commissioned beginning of 2008.

Used in SINTEF 2nd 3D run. About 4x throughput compared to "Old Boy" used in first run. Can be operated with both low and high frequency chuck bias. Low frequency bias reduces and/or removes notching problems



First 3D development run at SINTEF

- Started mid December 2006, completed February 2008. Included several very time consuming process developments
- Original photo mask design by Chris Kenney with ATLAS pixel layout, slightly modified by SINTEF
- N-type wafers, 4-inch, 250 μ m thick, specific resistivity 1500 to 2000 Ω cm. Not optimal configuration for n- readout as active edge is part of total chip pn-junction

Limitation at SINTEF:

Polysilicon filling have to be performed at Stanford. SINTEF LPCVD is restricted to deposition of $\leq 1 \mu m$



ATLAS 3-D Pixel – Wafer Layout: Designed by C Kenney, modified by SINTEF ATLAS pixel test chips **ATLAS pixel test** chips

Various test chips including baby strip detectors



Fabrication steps and issues – First 3D-run

.

Fabrication issues in first run

Wafer stress after second electrode filling (p-electrodes). Induced wafer stress, bow and large breakage

ICT

- Bow and large topography made photolithography and alignment extremely difficult
- In spite of problems two wafers showed good diode characteristic

Electrical measurements at the wafer stage first 3D-run The p-bias electrodes represent the pn-junction and are all connected. Thus measurement on one n-pixel gives total chip leakage current.

Average leakage current measured on ATLAS FE-I3 chips with ≈ 2700 pixels, 3E and 4E configuration.

Inter pixel / inter strip resistance measured at 60V between n-electrodes in adjacent pixels

Configuration	2E	3E	4E	Baby strip
Resistance	600-800 ΜΩ	300-500 ΜΩ	100-300 MΩ	6-6.5 GΩ

Testing after bump-bonding

- Noise was too high for a good convergence
- Lowest noise close to breakdown of the detector
- All modules suffered from irreversible breakdown after typically one day of operation
- Problems caused by device structure, that is n-readout on n substrate with active edge part of pn-junction.

One module successfully recorded particles from an Am-241 source

ICT

Each square corresponds to the number of hits per pixel *data taken by E. Bolle, H.Gjersdal and O. Rohne at the University of Oslo

Inherent weakness of n-read out device with p-active edge made on n-substrate

- All pixels share common pn-junction through the p-bias electrodes
- pn-junction also includes active edge and p-spray
- Cuts through pn-junction (p-spray) when dicing
- Depletion layer spreads out from active edge to non-passivated saw line

Wafer specifications:

- 4 inch, ≥ 10000 Ωcm
- Thickness: 200 μm, 17 pcs, 285 μm, 6 pcs

Process improvements based on experience from first run focus on:

- Reduced wafer stress, bow, topography and breakage
- New AMS 200 ISPEEDER "IPROD" used for DRIE etching

ICT

Configuration geometries and estimated depletion voltage

Substrate specific resistivity: \geq 10000 Ω cm										
Configuration		5		CMS 1ROC						
	1E	2E	3E	4E	5E	2E	4E			
n - p electrode distance (µm)	201	106	76	54	47	64	46			
Max depletion voltage planar model	40.5 V	11.3 V	5.8 V	2.9 V	2.2 V	2.2 V	4.1 V			
Max depletion voltage square cell model $\frac{1}{2}$	190 V	32 V	11.8 V	3.9 V	2.4 V	6.9 V	2.4 V ²			

<u>1.</u> V. Eremin, E. Verbitskaya, "Analytical Approach for 3D Detectors Engineering", 2008 IEEE Nuclear Science Symposium conference Record

ICT

2. Square cell model should apply well to 1ROC 4E configuration

SINTEF 3D: Series B improvements

P-type wafers

- Active edge will serve as depletion stop
- More robust configuration
 Narrower trenches (4 μm)
 Improved hole profiles
 Extra nitride layer
 - A better doping barrier
 - Protects the field oxide
 - Keeps symmetry on both back and front side

Result of improvements

Much reduced stress, bow and breakage

Wafer yield: 18 out of 23

ICT

Improved electrode filling reduce topography and allow easier and better lithography

Test metal for electrical measurement

Short circuits all n-electrodes. Later removed and replaced by final metal

SINTEF

Detail of CMS 1ROC chip 2E test metal

ICT

Measured IV-characteristics ATLAS FE-I3 chips Summary of measurements of good chips on wafer B2-16 (200 µm thick).

Measured with test metallization which short circuits all pixels (n-electrodes).

Total leakage from \approx 2700 pixels as function of bias. Corresponds to \approx 2700 (1E) to \approx 13500 (5E) electrodes. Leakage Includes "MOS" effect and possible bad electrodes.

Yield of good chips on wafer typically 50% (preliminary result from 6 wafers)

ICT

Measured IV-characteristics on ATLAS FE-I4 chips Total leakage from 20376 pixels (40752 electrodes) including MOS effect and possible bad electrodes

I-V measurements on FE-I4 chips from wafers B2-1, B2-9 (200 μm thick) and B5 (280 μm thick)

I-V measurements on FE-I4 chip from wafer B5 (285 μm thick). Chip at wafer edge.

Active edge trench and electrodes not opened in upper left corner due to masking ring. Probably only \approx 34000 electrodes opened (17000 pixels). < 100 pA / electrode in full depletion including MOS effect.

.

Measured IV-characteristics CMS 1ROC chips 2E Configuration

5 good chip from wafer B2-1 (200 µm thick) and B5 (280 µm thick) Measured with test metallization which short circuits all pixels (n-electrodes). Total leakage includes contribution from "MOS" effect and possible bad pixels

Chip includes ≈ 2000 pixels (≈ 4000 n-electrodes)

Wafer B2-1 200 μm thick

Wafer B5 280 μm thick

ICT

.....

Measured IV-characteristics CMS 1ROC chips 4E Configuration

5 chips from wafer B2-1 (200 µm thick) and B5 (280 µm thick) Measured with test metallization which short circuits all pixels (n-electrodes). Total leakage includes contribution from "MOS" effect and possible bad pixels

Chip includes ≈ 2000 pixels (≈ 8000 n-electrodes)

Wafer B2-1 200 μm thick

Wafer B5 280 μm thick

ІСТ

CMS 1ROC 2E and 4E Configurations

Single pixel IV-measurements on chips with final metal. Other pixels floating and measurement include pick up from adjacent pixels. Chip include ≈ 2000 pixels (4000 – 8000 n-electrodes)

2E configuration

IV-Measurement on 20 pixels from same chip. Very uniform leakage. Includes pick up from adjacent pixels

4E configuration

IV-Measurement on 6 pixels from same chip. Includes pick up from most of chip area

Conclusions

- Technically 2nd SINTEF 3D-lot did run smoothly. New IPROD DRIE tool gives high quality electrode holes and fast etch times. However, reliability of IPROD tool not convincing with 3 long down periods. Large delays compared to project plan
- 2. p-type substrate wafer gives more robust configuration as active edge act as depletion stop and is not part of pn-junction. Final proof after dicing and bump-bonding
- 3. Process changes considerably reduced wafer stress and warping, improved electrode filling and lithography compared to first run. Low breakage and much improved wafer yield, 18 out of 23 wafers survived the process.
- 4. Typical pixel average leakage current 0.5 to 1 nA in full depletion measured with a test metallization that short circuits all pixels (n-electrodes) and includes possible bad electrodes and a contribution from the "MOS" effect. Confirmed by single pixel measurements on chips with final metallization. Real pixel leakage probably ≤ 100 pA.

Further work

- 1. Further testing and characterization. Single pixel measurements
- 2. Develop processes for DRIE removal of support wafer and dicing
- 4 wafers sent to IZM for bump-bonding on October 14, 2009.
 2 will be bonded to FE-I3 and CMS readout chips and diced.
 2 will only be metallized (UBM) and sent back to SINTEF for removal of support wafer and dicing by DRIE. Then sent back to IZM for bump-bonding of single chips.
- 4. Transfer technology to 6-inch wafers

Further work for ATLAS

 Process wafers with common floor plan together with CNM (Barcelona), FBK (Trento) and Stanford.
 Different 3D-configurations, but common metallization and alignment masks for bump-bonding. Includes 6 FE- I4 sensor chips

Not final design, FE-I4 chips to be placed symmetrically on wafer

ICT

Deliver prototype FE-I4 3D-sensor chips for prototype hybrids with FE-I4 readout ASIC. To qualify 3D-technology for IBL in competition with n-on-p and diamond detectors.

Further work for CMS ?????

